Farm Labor

(NR 17 1962

Release: March 9, 1962 3:00 P.M. (E.D.T.)

WINTER DOMINATES FARM WORK DECREASE IN FARM EMPLOYMENT CONTINUES

Winter still controlled farm work on many of the Nation's farms in late February although weather varied greatly during the month. Livestock care-"chores"-came first on many farms and heavy feeding in many northern and central sections cut deeply into stored forage supplies. On the other hand, periods of warmer weather in many areas, aided preparations for a spring push after waiting fields were ready and snow and cold forgotten. The February survey week (18-24) gave a farm employment total of 5,135,000 persons, representing a decrease of 3 percent from a year earlier. The number of farm operators and other family members working on farms was 4,140,000, down 3 percent. The number of hired workers, estimated at 995,000 during this survey week, was 2 percent under the comparable week of February 1961.

Work Slow Generally Except in est South Central Region

All regions of the country except the Vest South Central reported the number of both family and hired workers under a year earlier or barely at the same level. The decreases were mostly moderate, reflecting continued reductions in the number of farms and other changes in farming which have required somewhat less man-labor each year recently.

In West South Central States warm weather pushed work ahead rapidly during the month. Texas farmers had shirt-sleeve weather up to the last three days of February, got their machines into fields, and "stepped on the gas". Cotton planting in the Lower Valley was almost one-fifth done in February and grain sorghum and corn were being planted over widening areas. More than one-third of Oklahoma spring oats and barley had been seeded by the end of February; ground preparation was very active in Western sections of the State. In the Arkansas and Louisiana area, field work included ground preparation for cotton, planting potatoes, and work on sugarcane. Farm activity in the West South Central region used 5 percent more family workers than a year earlier and 18 percent more hired workers.

February Progress Showed Wide Sectional Variations

Even while winter influence dominated northern and north central areas, February warm weather moved work ahead in the Southeast. Florida had hot dry weather which increased irrigation needs in citrus groves. The movement of Florida citrus during the week ending February 23 was estimated at 3,900,000 boxes of oranges and 1,245,000 of grapefruit. The total volume of the State's vegetable crops harvested increased moderately, with tomatoes and sweet corn making the largest increases. In Alabama, planting of Irish potatoes was nearly completed in Baldwin County and nearby commercial areas, while bedding of sweet potatoes continued in Cullman County. Georgia and South Carolina peaches have had good winter chilling. Warm days brought some early varieties into heavy bloom, raising hopes for plenty of peaches but making the crop vulnerable to cold damage. Tobacco plant beds in Georgia and South Carolina made excellent growth and promised plentifulssupplies of good plants for early planting. In North Carolina, Kentucky, Tennessee, and Virginia tobacco beds were being prepared and seeded.

Plowing and land preparation were active in New Mexico. In Arizona, citrus harvest continued and vegetable harvests and plantings made progress. In the Yuma area, planting of late cantaloups continued and more potatoes and watermelons were planted in the Salt River Valley.

Most areas in the Mountain States had much February cold and heavy snowfall which added to the work of caring for livestock. Farmers and ranchers who rely on mountain snowpacks for irrigation water found optimism mounting as the snow deepened, bettering prospects for the outcome of their work in 1962. Nevada and Utah growers saw a possible end to the drought which has curtailed water supplies for three years.

Pacific Coast areas had som good weather for fruit tree pruning and other outside work and also benefitted from substantial moisture gains in periods of heavy valley rains and mountain snowfall. Following the heavy rains in California during much of February, the varied harvests of citrus fruits and vegetable crops resumed larger volume and the needs for workers advanced with developing crops.

Although livestock in many sections required more than the usual amount of supplemental feeding, feed and hay supplies generally were adequate. Improvements in barn and farmstead equipment on the larger dairy farms and livestock feeding establishments have contributed to increased labor efficiency and to increased livestock production per farm. In Texas the open weather reduced supplemental feeding and new growth on Panhandle wheat fields furnished pasture for additional cattle. By the month's end much goat shearing had been done and new lambs and kids swelled the States livestock numbers.

Farm employment and indexes, February 1962, with comparisons, United States

	:	Annual average 1961	:	average	: average	:February : :average : /:1956 - 60 1/:	February: 19-25 : 1961 :	February 18 – 24 1962
FARM EMPLOYMENT (Thousands) Total Family labor Hired labor INDEXES 2/	:	6,990 5,104 1,886		7,118 5,249 1,869	7,485 5,572 1,913	5,709 4,677 1,032	5,269 4,252 1,017	5,135 4,140 995
(1910-14=100) Total Family labor Hired labor (1957-59=100)	:	52 50 55		52 52 55	55 55 56	5 5 55 56	51 50 55	50 49 54
Total	:	94 91 96		94 95 96	99 1 00 98	100 100 98	92 91 96	90 89 94

^{1/} Employment during the last full calendar week ending at least one day before the end of the month.

Farm Wage Rates and Indexes, January 1, 1962, United States

		average:	January : average : 1956-60 :		:January 1, : 1962 :
FARM WAGE RATES Composite rate per hour 1/ Per month with house Per month with board and room Per week with board and room Per week without board or room Per day with house Per day with board and room Per day without board or room Per hour with house Per hour without board or room	00 00 00 00	\$1 95 . 00	0.818 \$174.00 139.00 32.50 42.00 4.95 5.80 6.00 -74	\$ 0.909 \$197.00 155.00 34.75 46.75 5.40 6.40 6.60 .33 1.08	\$ 0.932 \$200.00 158.00 35.75 47.75 5.60 6.40 6.70 .85
INDEXES 2/ (1910-14=100)	:	642 110	577 99	635 109	652 112

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Weighted average of all rates on a per hour basis. $\frac{2}{2}$ Indexes are adjusted for seasonal variation.

²/ Monthly indexes are adjusted for seasonal variation.

Workers on Farms, February 1962

(Thousands of persons)

(Thousands of persons)									
Region and State	Fel	ruary avera	rge		uary 196			ruary 196	
Region and boase	Total	1956-60 1/ : Family :	Hired		of 19-25 Family		Total	k of 18-2 Family:	Hired
	:			:					
New England	: 132	92	40	: 112	78	34	: 110	76	34
New York	: : 143	105	38	134	97	37	126	93	33
New Jersey	: 38	28	10		26	9	31	24	7
Pennsylvania	: 184	157	27	-	141		146	127	19
Middle Atlantic	: 365	290	75		264	67	303	244	59
Obje	:	21.2	10	. 215	307	7.0	: 20.2	300	7.4
Ohio Indiana	: 230 : 199	212 186	18 13		197 169	_ :	202 177	188 165	14 12
Illinois	: 205	171	34		158		. 177 : 185	153	32
Michigan	: 200	179	21		169	_	: 185	163	22
Wisconsin	: 271	2 50	21		232		242	223	19
	:1,105	998		:1,026	925		991	892	99
	:	200		:	3.20		:	332	
Minnesota	: 221	203 21.7	18		188		203	187	16
Iowa Missouri	: 233 : 225	217 208	16 17		214 202		: 230 : 217	214 202	16 15
North Dakota	: 68	60	8		53	_	: 217 : 57	202 50	7
South Dakota		71	6		65	_	. 57 : 69	64	5
Nebraska	127	118	_	128	117		. 122	114	8
Kansas	: 133	123	10	•	112	10	: 116	106	10
	:1.084	1,000		1,033	951		: 1,014	937	77
				:			:		
Delaware-Maryland		37	13	: 48	36	12	: 46	33	13
Virginia	: 165	137	28		126		: 145	121	24
West Virginia		49	9		45		: 50	42	8
North Carolina		238	35		212		: 238	202	36
South Carolina		98 114	34 36		85	34	: 108 : 121	77	31
Georgia Florida	: 130	52	69		96 45	33 85		87 44	34 72
South Atlantic	: 949	725	224		645	231	: 116 : 824	606	218
	:	12		• 070	045	231	. 024	000	210
Kentucky	: 188	170	18	: 179	160	19	173	153	20
Tennessee	: 171	147	24		134		: 149	126	23
Alabama	: 102	86	16		69	13		67	14
Mississippi	: 162	134	28		106		127	103	24
East South Central	: 623	537	86	550	469	81	530	449	81
Arkansas	: 157	121	3 6	: 137	98	39	137	96	41
Louisiana	: 127	89	38		70	_	: 116	82	34
Oklahoma	: 163	151	12		136		: 145	133	12
Texas	: 309	237	72 :		196		308	215	93
West South Central	: 756 :	598	158	653	500	153	706	526	180
Montana	: 41	36	5 8		33	4	35	31	4
Idaho	: 43	37	6		38	7	43	3 7	6
Colorado	: 48	43	5		40	5	43	39	4
N.MexAriz		29	40		27	- 42	59	25	34
WyoUtah-Nev		33	9 :		32	8 :	38	30	8
Mountain	: 243	178	65	236	170	66	218	162	56
Washington	82	69	13	84	65	19	75	59	16
Oregon	: 70	58	12 :	67	56	11 :	73	63	10
California	300	132	168 :	301	129	172 :	291	126	165
Pacific	452	259	193	452	250	202	439	248	191
UNITED STATES	5 , 709	4 , 677	1,032	5,269	4,252	1,017	5,135	4,140	995
1/ Persons employ		nd the leat	- f 1177 -	olondon r	rook and i	nd at 16	oat one	day before	+bo

^{1/} Persons employed during the last full calendar week ending at least one day before the end of the month.

UNITED STATES :6,990

Workers on farms: Comparative estimates, annual average 1961, December 1961 and January 1962

1,886

5,104

:5,071

4,142

:4,665

3,854

Decrease in Farm Numbers Continues

The number of farms in the United States decreased from 4,105,000 in 1959 to 3,818,000 in 1961, a reduction of 7 percent in the 2-year period. This decrease in number of farms continues the downtrend which started slowly after 1935 and gained speed during the 1950's. Between 1950 and 1959 farm numbers in the then 48 States dropped from 5,648,000 to 4,097,000-down more than one-fourth. The decrease over the entire period from 1950 to 1962 is over one-third.

Many of these farms dissappeared as operating units but their acreage was added to other places. The land in farms in 1961 at 1,169 million acres was only about 1 percent less than the 1959 total and only about 3 percent less than in 1950. The small decrease in land in farms reflects the importance of combinations into larger operating units, losses in the number of small farms generally, and the swift decline in sharecropper and tenant operations in some Southern areas. Suburban expansion, commercial foresty, wider highways and other non-farm uses also took land and eliminated farms. The estimates which summarize these changes in number of farms and land in farms from 1950 to 1962 for 48 States are shown in the table below. The estimates for a given year relate to the number of farms in operation during the year. The estimates for years 1954-1961 are based on the more restrictive farm definition used in the 1959 Census and fully described in reports of the 1959 Census of Agriculture. Estimates of number of farms for 1950 are as formerly published, while previously published estimates for 1951-53 were revised downward essentially in a linear manner to tie in with the new 1954 level.

Number	of	Farms	and	Land	in	Farms,	U.S.	1950 - 62	1/
--------	----	-------	-----	------	----	--------	------	------------------	----

Year	Number of farms:	Land in farms (000 acres)	:	Year	Number of farms: Land in farms: (000 acres)
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955	5,647,800 5,427,600 5,197,500 4,983,600 4,798,200 4,653,800 4,514,100	1,202,019 1,203,500 1,204,930 1,205,740 1,206,355 1,201,900 1,197,070	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 (Prel.	: 4,371,700

1/ Excluding Alaska and Hawaii.

Estimates by States for 1959 through 1961, including Alaska and Hawaii are shown in the table on page 7. Estimates by States for 1950-59 will be shown in the near future in a separate publication, available upon request. A discussion of sources of data, effects of farm definition change, and Census comparison studies basic to the revised level of the estimates will be included in the forthcoming publication.

Number of Farms and Land in Farms by States and United States, 1959-1961

	:	N	umber of	farms	:	La n d	in Farms :	
State	:	1959 :		: 1961	:	1959 :	1960 :	1961
	:					000 acres	000 acres	000 acres
Maine	•	20,000	19,000	18,400		3,500	3,400	3 , 350
New Hampshire .	•	7,500	7,000	6,600		1,300	1 , 250	1 , 220
Vermont	•	14,000	13,300	12,800		3,270	3 , 210	170 و 3
	:	14,000	13,000	12,300		1,300	1,250	1 , 230
Rhode Island	•	1,700	1,600	1,500		148	145	140
Connecticut		10,000	9,400	8,900		1,140	1,100	1,070
New York	•	92,000	88,000	84,000		14,500	14 , 300	14,100
New Jersey		17,000	15,800	15,200		1,500	1,460	1,440
Pennsylvania		110,000	106,000	101,000		12,500	12,300	12,100
Ohio		154,000	149,000	144,000		19,400	19,200	19,000
	•	138,000	134,000	130,000		19,400	19,400	19,300
Indiana	•	164,000	159,000	155,000		30 , 900	30,700	30 , 600
Illinois	•	123,000	118,000	114,000		15 , 700	15,400	15,100
Michigan	٠	142,000	138,000	136,000		22,400	22,200	22,100
Wisconsin	•	160,000	156,000	154,000		32 , 500	32,400	32 , 400
Minnesota	•	187,000	184,000	181,000		34 , 700	34 , 700	34 , 700
Iowa		184,000	180,000	175,000		34 , 800	34 , 700	34 , 600
Missouri	•	57,000	56,000	55 , 000		42 , 200	42,100	42,100
North Dakota	•		58 , 400	57 , 300		45 , 400	45 , 400	45 , 400
South Dakota	:	59 , 600	93,000	90,000		48,300	43 , 200	48,200
Nebraska		94,000	110,000	107,000		50 , 200	50 , 200	50,100
Kansas	•	113,000	5,600	5,500		800	800	790
Delaware		5 , 700	· •	26,400		3 , 800	3 , 750	3 , 700
Maryland	•	28,000	27,200	•			13 , 500	13,400
Virginia	•	109,000	105,000	100,000		13,700	6 , 700	6,600
West Virginia .	٠	50,000	47,000	44,000		6,800	-	17 , 600
North Carolina		220,000	212,000	205,000		18,000	17,900	9,800
South Carolina	:	91,000	86,000	81,000		10,200	10,000	
Georgia	:	121,000	112,000	105,000		22,600	22 , 000	21,400
Florida	:	51,000	50,000	48,000		17,500	17,400	17,000
Kentucky	•	167,000	161,000	155,000		18,200	18,000	17,800
Tennessee	:	175,000	169,000	164,000		17,000	16,800	16 , 600
Alabama	:	129,000	122,000	115,000		17,600	17,200	16,900
Mississippi	•	154,000	144,000	134,000		20,100	19,800	19,500
Arkansas	:	107,000	101,000	95,000		17,900	17,700	17,600
Louisiana	:	83,000	80,000	77,000		11,200	11,000	10,800
Oklahoma	:	107,000	103,000	99,000		37 , 500	37,400	400
Texas	:	252,000	240,000	232,000		154,000	154,000	154,000
Montana	•	32,400	32,000	31,600		66,600	66 , 700	66,800
Idaho	:	37,600	37,200	36 , 800		15,300	15,300	15,300
Wyoming	;	10,800	10,500	10,200		36,200	36,100	36,000
Colorado	:	38 , 000	37,000	36,000		41,000	41,000	41,000
New Mexico	•	19,000	18,200	17,400		51,900	51 , 800	51 , 800
Arizona	:	8 , 500	8,200	7,900		45,000	45,000	45,000
Utahh	:	19,800	19,000	18 , 200		13,600	13 , 600	13 , 600
Nevada	:	2,700	2,600	2 , 500		9,200	9,200	9,200
Washington	:	57 , 000	56 , 000			18,200	18,200	18,200
Oregon		48,000	47,000	46 , 500)	21,200	21,200	21,200
California		112,000	108,000	104,000		39,000	39,000	39,000
48 States	:[3,949,000			1,179,158	1,174,065	1,169,410
Alaska	:	410	410	400)	900	900	900
Hawaii	•	6,800	6,800	6 , 800		2 , 600	2 , 600	2 , 600
United States	:[104,510	3,956,210	3,818,200)	1,182,658	1,177,565	1,172,910

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

N.Y. STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE ALBERT R. MANN LIBRARY ACQUISITIONS DIV.
1-16-58
ML-FL ITHACA. A.Y.

IN THIS ISSUE

	Page
Comments	1 - 2
Farm employment and indexes, February 1962, with comparisons, United States	3
Farm Wage Rates and Indexes, January 1, 1962, United States	3
Workers on Farms, February 1962	<u>L</u>
Workers on farms: Comparative estimates, annual average 1961, December 1961, and January 1962	5
Number of Farms and Land in Farms 1950-1962, United States	6
Number of Farms and Land in Farms 1959-61 by States	7